

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 2005 of 1991

with

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 5149 of 1991

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

KALOL NAGARPALIKA

Versus

KAUSHIKKUMAR K RAV

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 2005 of 1991
MR JAYESH PATEL for MR MI PATEL for Petitioner
MRS DT SHAH for Respondent No. 1
 2. Special Civil Application No. 5149 of 1991
MRS DT SHAH for Petitioner
MR JAYESH PATEL for MR MI PATEL for Respondent
No. 1 and 2
MR HASMUKH C PATEL, AGP for Respondent No. 3
-

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

Date of decision: 23/01/2001

ORAL JUDGEMENT

It is stated at the bar that the workman has been reinstated in service pursuant to the award of the Labour Court. Shri Jayesh Patel on behalf of Shri M.I. Patel, learned counsel for the petitioner in Special Civil Application No.2005 of 1991 states that according to their instructions, the matter has been settled between the parties out of court and hence, he seeks leave to withdraw the petition. In view of this statement, Mrs. D.T. Shah, learned counsel for the petitioner in Special Civil Application No.5149 of 1991 seeks leave to withdraw the said petition. Both the petitions are disposed of as withdrawn. Rule discharged in both the petitions. It is clarified that if the question of amount of wages from the date of award till the date of actual reinstatement has not been settled between the parties, it will be open to the petitioner in Special Civil Application No.5149 of 1991 to pursue such remedy as may be available to him in law.

hki